Ivan Yakovlev

MPIM Bonn

Weihnachtsworkshop, 16 December 2024

iyakovlev23.github.io

A ribbon graph is a graph (loops and multiple edges allowed) with a circular ordering of (half-)edges incident to every vertex.



A ribbon graph is a graph (loops and multiple edges allowed) with a circular ordering of (half-)edges incident to every vertex.



Equivalently, cellular embedding of a graph into a surface.

A ribbon graph is a graph (loops and multiple edges allowed) with a circular ordering of (half-)edges incident to every vertex.



Equivalently, cellular embedding of a graph into a surface.

A ribbon graph is a graph (loops and multiple edges allowed) with a circular ordering of (half-)edges incident to every vertex.



Equivalently, cellular embedding of a graph into a surface.

A ribbon graph is a graph (loops and multiple edges allowed) with a circular ordering of (half-)edges incident to every vertex.



Equivalently, cellular embedding of a graph into a surface. Euler's formula: |V(G)| - |E(G)| + |F(G)| = 2 - 2g. Faces = boundary components.

A metric on a ribbon graph G is a function $E(G) \to \mathbb{R}_{>0}$.

A metric on a ribbon graph G is a function $E(G) \to \mathbb{R}_{>0}$. Perimeter of a boundary component = sum of the lengths of incident edges.

Ivan Yakovlev (MPIM Bonn)









- zero/pole of order $k \ge -1 \leftrightarrow$ vertex of degree k+2;
- poles of order 2 \leftrightarrow infinite cylinders;
- residues at order 2 poles \leftrightarrow perimeters of boundaries / cylinders.

$$\mathcal{RG}_{g,n} := \left\{ egin{array}{c} \mbox{genus } g \mbox{ ribbon graphs with} \\ n \mbox{ labeled boundary components} \end{array}
ight.$$

$$\mathcal{RG}_{g,n} := \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{genus } g \text{ ribbon graphs with} \\ n \text{ labeled boundary components} \end{array} \right.$$

 \sim quadratic differentials

$$\mathcal{RG}_{g,n} := \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{genus } g \text{ ribbon graphs with} \\ n \text{ labeled boundary components} \end{array} \right.$$

 \rightsquigarrow quadratic differentials

 $\mathcal{RG}_{g,(k,l)} := \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{genus } g \text{ face-bicolored ribbon graphs with} \\ k \text{ black and } l \text{ white } labeled \text{ boundary components} \end{array} \right\}$

$$\mathcal{RG}_{g,n} := \left\{ egin{array}{c} {
m genus} \ g \ {
m ribbon} \ {
m graphs} \ {
m with} \ n \ {
m labeled} \ {
m boundary} \ {
m components} \end{array}
ight.$$

 \rightsquigarrow quadratic differentials

 $\mathcal{RG}_{g,(k,l)} := \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{genus } g \text{ face-bicolored ribbon graphs with} \\ k \text{ black and } l \text{ white } labeled \text{ boundary components} \end{array} \right\} \\ \sim Abelian \text{ differentials}$

$$\mathcal{RG}_{g,n} := \left\{ egin{array}{c} \mbox{genus } g \mbox{ ribbon graphs with} \\ n \mbox{ labeled boundary components} \end{array}
ight.$$

 \rightsquigarrow quadratic differentials

 $\mathcal{RG}_{g,(k,l)} := \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{genus } g \text{ face-bicolored ribbon graphs with} \\ k \text{ black and } l \text{ white } labeled \text{ boundary components} \end{array} \right\}$ $\sim Abelian \text{ differentials}$



$$\mathcal{RG}_{g,n} := \left\{ egin{array}{c} \mbox{genus } g \mbox{ ribbon graphs with} \\ n \mbox{ labeled boundary components} \end{array}
ight.$$

 \rightsquigarrow quadratic differentials

 $\mathcal{RG}_{g,(k,l)} := \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{genus } g \text{ face-bicolored ribbon graphs with} \\ k \text{ black and } l \text{ white } labeled \text{ boundary components} \end{array} \right\}$

→ Abelian differentials



Counting functions

For $L \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, let

$$\mathcal{P}_{g,n}(L) = \# \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{integer metric ribbon graphs of genus } g \\ \text{with } n \text{ boundaries of perimeters } L_1, \dots, L_n \end{array} \right\}$$

Counting functions

For $L \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, let

 $\mathcal{P}_{g,n}(L) = \# \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{integer metric ribbon graphs of genus } g \\ \text{with } n \text{ boundaries of perimeters } L_1, \dots, L_n \end{array} \right\}$

For $L \in \mathbb{Z}^k, L' \in \mathbb{Z}^l$, define analogously $\mathcal{P}_{g,(k,l)}(L,L')$.

Why study $\mathcal{P}_{g,n}(L)$ or $\mathcal{P}_{g,(k,l)}(L,L')$?

• natural counting problem on strata of meromorphic differentials;

- natural counting problem on strata of meromorphic differentials;
- → enumeration / random geometry of square-tiled surfaces, via cylinder decomposition:

- natural counting problem on strata of meromorphic differentials;
- → enumeration / random geometry of square-tiled surfaces, via cylinder decomposition:



- natural counting problem on strata of meromorphic differentials;
- → enumeration / random geometry of square-tiled surfaces, via cylinder decomposition:



- natural counting problem on strata of meromorphic differentials;
- → enumeration / random geometry of square-tiled surfaces, via cylinder decomposition:





Why study $\mathcal{P}_{g,n}(L)$ or $\mathcal{P}_{g,(k,l)}(L,L')$?

- natural counting problem on strata of meromorphic differentials;
- → enumeration / random geometry of square-tiled surfaces, via cylinder decomposition:





6/15

Why study $\mathcal{P}_{g,n}(L)$ or $\mathcal{P}_{g,(k,l)}(L,L')$?

- natural counting problem on strata of meromorphic differentials;
- → enumeration / random geometry of square-tiled surfaces, via cylinder decomposition:



• connection to intersection theory on strata / moduli spaces.

Consider the counting functions $\mathcal{P}_{g,n}^3$ for *trivalent* ribbon graphs.

Consider the counting functions $\mathcal{P}_{g,n}^3$ for *trivalent* ribbon graphs.

Theorem (Kontsevich, '92)

Up to lower order terms, $\mathcal{P}^3_{g,n}$ is a homogeneous polynomial

$$\frac{1}{2^{5g-6+2n}} \sum_{d_1+\ldots+d_n=3g-3+n} \langle \tau_{d_1}\cdots\tau_{d_n} \rangle \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{L_i^{2d_i}}{d_i!},$$

where $\langle \tau_{d_1} \cdots \tau_{d_n} \rangle := \int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}} \psi_1^{d_1} \cdots \psi_n^{d_n}$ are the intersection numbers of ψ -classes on the moduli space of marked Riemann surfaces.

Consider the counting functions $\mathcal{P}_{g,n}^3$ for *trivalent* ribbon graphs.

Theorem (Kontsevich, '92)

Up to lower order terms, $\mathcal{P}^3_{g,n}$ is a homogeneous polynomial

$$\frac{1}{2^{5g-6+2n}} \sum_{d_1+\ldots+d_n=3g-3+n} \langle \tau_{d_1}\cdots\tau_{d_n} \rangle \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{L_i^{2d_i}}{d_i!},$$

where $\langle \tau_{d_1} \cdots \tau_{d_n} \rangle := \int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}} \psi_1^{d_1} \cdots \psi_n^{d_n}$ are the intersection numbers of ψ -classes on the moduli space of marked Riemann surfaces.

Witten's conjecture, $\mathcal{M}_{q,n}^{comb}$, Strebel differentials.

From a combinatorial point of view, it's a miracle!

From a combinatorial point of view, it's a miracle!

$$\mathcal{P}_{g,n}(L) = \sum_{G \in \mathcal{RG}_{g,n}} \frac{1}{|\operatorname{Aut}(G)|} \cdot \mathcal{P}_G(L).$$

From a combinatorial point of view, it's a miracle!

$$\mathcal{P}_{g,n}(L) = \sum_{G \in \mathcal{RG}_{g,n}} \frac{1}{|\operatorname{Aut}(G)|} \cdot \mathcal{P}_G(L).$$

Each $\mathcal{P}_G(L)$ is a piecewise (quasi-)polynomial:

From a combinatorial point of view, it's a miracle!

$$\mathcal{P}_{g,n}(L) = \sum_{G \in \mathcal{RG}_{g,n}} \frac{1}{|\operatorname{Aut}(G)|} \cdot \mathcal{P}_G(L).$$

Each $\mathcal{P}_G(L)$ is a piecewise (quasi-)polynomial:



Counting integer points in a deforming polytope.

8/15
Consider the counting functions $\mathcal{P}^1_{g,(k,l)}$ for *one-vertex* face-bicolored graphs.

Consider the counting functions $\mathcal{P}_{g,(k,l)}^1$ for *one-vertex* face-bicolored graphs.

Theorem (Y. '23)

For any g, k, l, the top-degree term of $\mathcal{P}^1_{g,(k,l)}$ is a polynomial outside of a finite number of hyperplanes ("walls"), whose coefficients count certain metric plane trees. Analogous statement is true on any intersection of walls.

Consider the counting functions $\mathcal{P}_{g,(k,l)}^1$ for *one-vertex* face-bicolored graphs.

Theorem (Y. '23)

For any g, k, l, the top-degree term of $\mathcal{P}^1_{g,(k,l)}$ is a polynomial outside of a finite number of hyperplanes ("walls"), whose coefficients count certain metric plane trees. Analogous statement is true on any intersection of walls.

$$\frac{(k+l+2g-2)!}{2^{2g}} \cdot \sum_{\substack{b_1+\ldots+b_k+w_1+\ldots+w_l=g\\b_i,w_i\geq 0}} \prod_{i=1}^k \frac{L_i^{2b_i}}{(2b_i+1)!} \cdot \prod_{j=1}^l \frac{{L'_j}^{2w_j}}{(2w_j+1)!}.$$

Consider the counting functions $\mathcal{P}_{g,(k,l)}^1$ for *one-vertex* face-bicolored graphs.

Theorem (Y. '23)

For any g, k, l, the top-degree term of $\mathcal{P}^1_{g,(k,l)}$ is a polynomial outside of a finite number of hyperplanes ("walls"), whose coefficients count certain metric plane trees. Analogous statement is true on any intersection of walls.

$$\frac{(k+l+2g-2)!}{2^{2g}} \cdot \sum_{\substack{b_1+\ldots+b_k+w_1+\ldots+w_l=g\\b_i,w_i\geq 0}} \prod_{i=1}^k \frac{L_i^{2b_i}}{(2b_i+1)!} \cdot \prod_{j=1}^l \frac{{L'_j}^{2w_j}}{(2w_j+1)!}.$$

outside of the walls: Okounkov, Pandharipande '06; genus 0: Gendron, Tahar '22; Chen, Prado '23 (intersection theory!).

Consider the counting functions $\mathcal{P}^1_{g,(k,l)}$ for *one-vertex* face-bicolored graphs.

Theorem (Y. '23)

For any g, k, l, the top-degree term of $\mathcal{P}^1_{g,(k,l)}$ is a polynomial outside of a finite number of hyperplanes ("walls"), whose coefficients count certain metric plane trees. Analogous statement is true on any intersection of walls.

$$\frac{(k+l+2g-2)!}{2^{2g}} \cdot \sum_{\substack{b_1+\ldots+b_k+w_1+\ldots+w_l=g\\b_i,w_i\geq 0}} \prod_{i=1}^k \frac{L_i^{2b_i}}{(2b_i+1)!} \cdot \prod_{j=1}^l \frac{{L'_j}^{2w_j}}{(2w_j+1)!}.$$

outside of the walls: Okounkov, Pandharipande '06; genus 0: Gendron, Tahar '22; Chen, Prado '23 (intersection theory!).

• top-degree term on $\{L_i = L'_i, \forall i\} \Rightarrow$ cylinder contributions in $\mathcal{H}(2g-2)$.

 ≥ 2 vertices \Rightarrow even the top-degree term is *not* polynomial. ©

 ≥ 2 vertices \Rightarrow even the top-degree term is *not* polynomial. \odot However, this can be corrected by weighting the contribution of each graph! \odot

 ≥ 2 vertices \Rightarrow even the top-degree term is *not* polynomial. \odot However, this can be corrected by weighting the contribution of each graph! \odot



 ≥ 2 vertices \Rightarrow even the top-degree term is *not* polynomial. \odot However, this can be corrected by weighting the contribution of each graph! \odot



• Orient each edge with black face on the left;

 ≥ 2 vertices \Rightarrow even the top-degree term is *not* polynomial. \odot However, this can be corrected by weighting the contribution of each graph! \odot



- Orient each edge with black face on the left;
- the weight w(G) is the number of oriented spanning trees of G rooted at an arbitrary vertex (indep.).

 ≥ 2 vertices \Rightarrow even the top-degree term is *not* polynomial. However, this can be corrected by weighting the contribution of each graph!



- Orient each edge with black face on the left;
- the weight w(G) is the number of oriented spanning trees of G rooted at an arbitrary vertex (indep.).

Theorem (Y. '24)

For g = 0, any $k, l \ge 1$ and any vertex degree profile d, the *weighted* counting function $\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}^d_{0,(k,l)}$ has a polynomial top-degree term, equal to

$$(k+l-2)! \cdot (L_1 + \ldots + L_k)^{\ell(d)-1}$$

 ≥ 2 vertices \Rightarrow even the top-degree term is *not* polynomial. \odot However, this can be corrected by weighting the contribution of each graph! \odot



- Orient each edge with black face on the left;
- the weight w(G) is the number of oriented spanning trees of G rooted at an arbitrary vertex (indep.).

Theorem (Y. '24)

For g = 0, any $k, l \ge 1$ and any vertex degree profile d, the *weighted* counting function $\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}^d_{0,(k,l)}$ has a polynomial top-degree term, equal to

$$(k+l-2)! \cdot (L_1 + \ldots + L_k)^{\ell(d)-1}$$

Also true for g > 0...

Ideas of proofs

Sketch of proof (g = 0):

Sketch of proof (g = 0):

• pass to the *dual* ribbon graphs; $\mathcal{P}^1_{0,(k,l)}(L;L')$ counts bipartite metric plane trees with fixed sums of lengths around each vertex (given by L_i, L'_i);

Sketch of proof (g = 0):

- pass to the *dual* ribbon graphs; $\mathcal{P}^{1}_{0,(k,l)}(L;L')$ counts bipartite metric plane trees with fixed sums of lengths around each vertex (given by L_i, L'_i);
- each tree contributes either 1 or 0;



Sketch of proof (g = 0):

- pass to the *dual* ribbon graphs; $\mathcal{P}^1_{0,(k,l)}(L;L')$ counts bipartite metric plane trees with fixed sums of lengths around each vertex (given by L_i, L'_i);
- each tree contributes either 1 or 0;
- when traversing a wall, we "loose" and "gain" an equal number of trees.



Sketch of proof (g > 0):

Sketch of proof (g > 0):

 $\bullet\,$ pass to the dual \Rightarrow 1-face metric ribbon graphs with fixed sums of lengths around each vertex;

Sketch of proof (g > 0):

- $\bullet\,$ pass to the dual \Rightarrow 1-face metric ribbon graphs with fixed sums of lengths around each vertex;
- **Chapuy-Féray-Fusy '13**: bijection between 1-face maps and decorated plane trees, via vertex explosions;





Sketch of proof (g > 0):

- $\bullet\,$ pass to the dual \Rightarrow 1-face metric ribbon graphs with fixed sums of lengths around each vertex;
- **Chapuy-Féray-Fusy '13**: bijection between 1-face maps and decorated plane trees, via vertex explosions;
- allows to control the metric!











Idea of proof: **Bernardi '07**: bijection between plane maps with a distinguished spanning tree and pairs of plane trees, again via vertex explosions.



 \sim need to control both the metric and the combinatorics of a tree (positions of certain corners when going around the tree) \sim prefix-postfix sequences.

Some open problems

- intersection theory interpretation of combinatorial results?
- understand the combinatorics of the Kontsevich's theorem;
- weighted counting functions for g > 0;
- applications to enumeration of square-tiled surfaces?



1/10



$$\mathcal{P}_G$$
 is zero outside of $\left\{\sum_i L_i = \sum_j L'_j, \ L_i > 0, \ L'_j > 0\right\}$.

1/10



$$\mathcal{P}_G$$
 is zero outside of $\left\{\sum_i L_i = \sum_j L'_j, \ L_i > 0, \ L'_j > 0\right\}$.



$$\mathcal{P}_G$$
 is zero outside of $\left\{\sum_i L_i = \sum_j L'_j, \ L_i > 0, \ L'_j > 0\right\}$.

$$\begin{cases} a, b, c, d > 0\\ a = L_1\\ b + c + d = L_2\\ a + b = L'_1\\ c + d = L'_2 \end{cases}$$



$$\mathcal{P}_G$$
 is zero outside of $\left\{\sum_i L_i = \sum_j L'_j, \ L_i > 0, \ L'_j > 0\right\}$.

$$\begin{cases} a, b, c, d > 0 \\ a = L_1 \\ b + c + d = L_2 \\ a + b = L'_1 \\ c + d = L'_2 \end{cases} \iff \begin{cases} a, b, c, d > 0 \\ a = L_1 \\ b = L'_1 - L_1 \\ c + d = L'_2 \end{cases}$$

1/10



$$\mathcal{P}_G$$
 is zero outside of $\left\{\sum_i L_i = \sum_j L'_j, \ L_i > 0, \ L'_j > 0\right\}$.

$$\begin{cases} a, b, c, d > 0 \\ a = L_1 \\ b + c + d = L_2 \\ a + b = L'_1 \\ c + d = L'_2 \end{cases} \iff \begin{cases} a, b, c, d > 0 \\ a = L_1 \\ b = L'_1 - L_1 \\ c + d = L'_2 \end{cases} \Rightarrow \mathcal{P}_G(L; L') = \mathbf{1}_{L'_1 > L_1} \cdot (L'_2 - 1).$$

.

Piecewise polynomiality

Let $\mathcal{PS}_{k,l}$ be the polyhedral subdivision of $\mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{R}^l$ generated by the hyperplanes ("walls") of the form $\sum_{i \in I} L_i = \sum_{j \in J} L'_j$, $I \subset \{1, \ldots, k\}$, $J \subset \{1, \ldots, l\}$.

Piecewise polynomiality

Let $\mathcal{PS}_{k,l}$ be the polyhedral subdivision of $\mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{R}^l$ generated by the hyperplanes ("walls") of the form $\sum_{i \in I} L_i = \sum_{j \in J} L'_j$, $I \subset \{1, \ldots, k\}$, $J \subset \{1, \ldots, l\}$.

Proposition

For any $G \in \mathcal{RG}_{g,(k,l)}$ the function \mathcal{P}_G is polynomial on every open cell of $\mathcal{PS}_{k,l}$.

Piecewise polynomiality

Let $\mathcal{PS}_{k,l}$ be the polyhedral subdivision of $\mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{R}^l$ generated by the hyperplanes ("walls") of the form $\sum_{i \in I} L_i = \sum_{j \in J} L'_j$, $I \subset \{1, \ldots, k\}$, $J \subset \{1, \ldots, l\}$.

Proposition

For any $G \in \mathcal{RG}_{g,(k,l)}$ the function \mathcal{P}_G is polynomial on every open cell of $\mathcal{PS}_{k,l}$.

Idea of proof: counting integer points in a deforming polytope.


Are there smaller subfamilies with polynomial counting functions?

Are there smaller subfamilies with polynomial counting functions?

Simpler question: are there smaller subfamilies of trees closed under flipping?

Are there smaller subfamilies with polynomial counting functions?

Simpler question: are there smaller subfamilies of trees closed under flipping?

Proposition

 \odot If $k \neq l \pmod{2}$, there is one orbit.

Are there smaller subfamilies with polynomial counting functions?

Simpler question: are there smaller subfamilies of trees closed under flipping?

Proposition

 \odot If $k \neq l \pmod{2}$, there is one orbit. \odot If $k = l \pmod{2}$, there are two orbits!

Are there smaller subfamilies with polynomial counting functions?

Simpler question: are there smaller subfamilies of trees closed under flipping?

Proposition

 \odot If $k \neq l \pmod{2}$, there is one orbit. \odot If $k = l \pmod{2}$, there are two orbits!

Invariant?

Are there smaller subfamilies with polynomial counting functions?

Simpler question: are there smaller subfamilies of trees closed under flipping?

Proposition

 \odot If $k \neq l \pmod{2}$, there is one orbit. \odot If $k = l \pmod{2}$, there are two orbits!

Invariant? \rightarrow parity?

Are there smaller subfamilies with polynomial counting functions?

Simpler question: are there smaller subfamilies of trees closed under flipping?

Proposition

 \odot If $k \neq l \pmod{2}$, there is one orbit. \odot If $k = l \pmod{2}$, there are two orbits!

Invariant? \rightarrow parity? \rightarrow of a permutation?

Are there smaller subfamilies with polynomial counting functions?

Simpler question: are there smaller subfamilies of trees closed under flipping?

Proposition

© If $k ≠ l \pmod{2}$, there is one orbit. © If $k = l \pmod{2}$, there are two orbits!

Invariant? \rightarrow parity? \rightarrow of a permutation? \rightarrow prefix / postfix traversal?

Are there smaller subfamilies with polynomial counting functions?

Simpler question: are there smaller subfamilies of trees closed under flipping?

Proposition

 \odot If $k \neq l \pmod{2}$, there is one orbit. \odot If $k = l \pmod{2}$, there are two orbits!

Invariant? \rightarrow parity? \rightarrow of a permutation? \rightarrow prefix / postfix traversal?

 \Rightarrow Prefix-postfix traversal!



Flipping edges cleverly

For any edge, there is a unique way to flip it so that the prefix-postfix sequence does not change!



Flipping edges cleverly

For any edge, there is a unique way to flip it so that the prefix-postfix sequence does not change!

Proposition (Y., '24)

For any $k, l \ge 1$, any permutation of vertex labels π , and any point (L; L') outside of the walls, there is exactly 1 tree with prefix-postfix sequence π and contributing at (L; L').

Observation: any tree contributes for values of (L; L') in a simplicial cone

$$cone\left(e_{i}+e_{j}':\bullet^{i}\circ^{j}\text{ is an edge}
ight)\subset\left(\mathbb{R}^{k}_{+}\times\mathbb{R}^{l}_{+}
ight)\cap\left\{\sum L_{i}=\sum L_{j}'
ight\},$$

Observation: any tree contributes for values of (L; L') in a simplicial cone

$$cone\left(e_{i}+e_{j}':\bullet^{i}\circ^{j}\text{ is an edge}\right)\subset\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{k}\times\mathbb{R}_{+}^{l}\right)\cap\left\{\sum L_{i}=\sum L_{j}'\right\},$$

Its intersection with
$$\left\{\sum L_{i}=\sum L_{j}'=1\right\}=\Delta_{k}\times\Delta_{l}\text{ is a simplex.}$$

Observation: any tree contributes for values of (L; L') in a simplicial cone

$$cone\left(e_{i}+e_{j}':\bullet^{i}\circ^{j}\text{ is an edge}\right)\subset\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{k}\times\mathbb{R}_{+}^{l}\right)\cap\left\{\sum L_{i}=\sum L_{j}'\right\},$$

Its intersection with $\left\{\sum L_{i}=\sum L_{j}'=1\right\}=\Delta_{k}\times\Delta_{l}$ is a *simplex*.

Theorem (Y., '24)

For any $k, l \ge 1$ and any permutation π of the vertex labels, the simplices corresponding to plane trees with prefix-postfix sequence π form a triangulation of $\Delta_k \times \Delta_l$.

Example of a triangulation

Example for k = l = 3:



Computing degeneration coefficients on the walls



$$(k+l-2)! = p_{w_1,\dots,w_n}^{b_1,\dots,b_n} + \sum_{t=2}^n \frac{(k+l-2)_{t-2}}{t!} \sum_{I_1,\dots,I_t} \prod_{j=1}^t \left(\sum_{i \in I_j} (b_i + w_i) - 1 \right) p_{w_{I_j}}^{b_{I_j}},$$

7/10

Degenerations in the quadratic case



A joining is admissible if and only if for every $i=1,\ldots,m$ the following holds:

- if all of the descendants of G_i have labels smaller then i, then the bridge joining G_i to its parent is in the black corner of G_i ;
- otherwise, the bridge joining G_i to its parent and the bridge joining G_i to the subtree containing the descendant of G_i of maximal label are in the corners of G_i of different colors.

Theorem (Y., 2023)

The contribution of *n*-cylinder square-tiled surfaces to the volume of $\mathcal{H}(2g-2)$ is equal to $\frac{2(2\pi)^{2g}}{(2g-1)!}a_{g,n}$, where $a_{g,n} \in \mathbb{Q}$, and whose generating function $\mathcal{C}(t,u) = 1 + \sum_{g\geq 1} \left(\sum_{n=1}^{g} a_{g,n}u^n\right)(2g-1)t^{2g}$ satisfies for all $g \geq 0$

$$\frac{1}{(2g)!} [t^{2g}] \mathcal{C}(t, u)^{2g} = [t^{2g}] \left(\frac{t/2}{\sin(t/2)}\right)^u$$

Theorem (Y., 2023)

The contribution of *n*-cylinder square-tiled surfaces to the volume of $\mathcal{H}(2g-2)$ is equal to $\frac{2(2\pi)^{2g}}{(2g-1)!}a_{g,n}$, where $a_{g,n} \in \mathbb{Q}$, and whose generating function $\mathcal{C}(t,u) = 1 + \sum_{g \ge 1} \left(\sum_{n=1}^{g} a_{g,n} u^n \right) (2g-1)t^{2g}$ satisfies for all $g \ge 0$ $\frac{1}{(2g)!} [t^{2g}] \mathcal{C}(t,u)^{2g} = [t^{2g}] \left(\frac{t/2}{\sin(t/2)} \right)^u$.

• Lagrange inversion \Rightarrow explicit formula for C(t, u);

Theorem (Y., 2023)

The contribution of *n*-cylinder square-tiled surfaces to the volume of $\mathcal{H}(2g-2)$ is equal to $\frac{2(2\pi)^{2g}}{(2g-1)!}a_{g,n}$, where $a_{g,n} \in \mathbb{Q}$, and whose generating function $\mathcal{C}(t,u) = 1 + \sum_{g\geq 1} \left(\sum_{n=1}^{g} a_{g,n} u^n\right) (2g-1)t^{2g}$ satisfies for all $g \geq 0$

$$\frac{1}{(2g)!} [t^{2g}] \mathcal{C}(t, u)^{2g} = [t^{2g}] \left(\frac{t/2}{\sin(t/2)}\right)^u.$$

- Lagrange inversion \Rightarrow explicit formula for C(t, u);
- setting u = 1 we recover the result of Sauvaget.

Theorem (Y., 2023)

The contribution of *n*-cylinder square-tiled surfaces to the volume of $\mathcal{H}(2g-2)$ is equal to $\frac{2(2\pi)^{2g}}{(2g-1)!}a_{g,n}$, where $a_{g,n} \in \mathbb{Q}$, and whose generating function $\mathcal{C}(t,u) = 1 + \sum_{g\geq 1} \left(\sum_{n=1}^{g} a_{g,n}u^n\right)(2g-1)t^{2g}$ satisfies for all $g \geq 0$

$$\frac{1}{(2g)!} [t^{2g}] \mathcal{C}(t, u)^{2g} = [t^{2g}] \left(\frac{t/2}{\sin(t/2)}\right)^u$$

- Lagrange inversion \Rightarrow explicit formula for C(t, u);
- setting u = 1 we recover the result of Sauvaget.
- Faber-Pandharipande 2000: $\left(\frac{t/2}{\sin(t/2)}\right)^{u+1}$ is the generating function of Hodge integrals $\int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,1}} \lambda_{g-i} \psi_1^{2g-2+i}$

Cylinder contributions in spin components of $\mathcal{H}(2g-2)$

Theorem (Y., 2024+)

The difference of the contributions of n-cylinder square-tiled surfaces to the volumes of even and odd spin subspaces of $\mathcal{H}(2g-2)$ is equal to $\frac{2(2\pi i)^{2g}}{(2g-1)!}d_{g,n}$, where $d_{g,n} \in \mathbb{Q}$, and whose generating function $\mathcal{D}(t,u) = 1 + \sum_{g\geq 1} \left(\sum_{n=1}^{g} d_{g,n} u^n\right) (2g-1)t^{2g}$ satisfies for all $k \geq 1$

$$\frac{1}{2k}[t^{2k}]\mathcal{D}(t,u)^{2k} = \frac{B_{2k}}{2^{k+1}k}u,$$

where B_{2k} is the 2k-th Bernoulli number.

Cylinder contributions in spin components of $\mathcal{H}(2g-2)$

Theorem (\overline{Y} ., 2024+)

The difference of the contributions of *n*-cylinder square-tiled surfaces to the volumes of even and odd spin subspaces of $\mathcal{H}(2g-2)$ is equal to $\frac{2(2\pi i)^{2g}}{(2g-1)!}d_{g,n}$, where $d_{g,n} \in \mathbb{Q}$, and whose generating function $\mathcal{D}(t,u) = 1 + \sum_{g\geq 1} \left(\sum_{n=1}^{g} d_{g,n} u^n\right) (2g-1)t^{2g}$ satisfies for all $k \geq 1$

$$\frac{1}{2k}[t^{2k}]\mathcal{D}(t,u)^{2k} = \frac{B_{2k}}{2^{k+1}k}u,$$

where B_{2k} is the 2k-th Bernoulli number.

u = 1: formula from Chen, Möller, Sauvaget, Zagier.